



ELSEVIER

Topology and its Applications 85 (1998) 281–285

---



---

**TOPOLOGY  
AND ITS  
APPLICATIONS**


---



---

# An example of $t_p^*$ -equivalent spaces which are not $t_p$ -equivalent

Witold Marciszewski<sup>a,b,1</sup>, Jan van Mill<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Vrije Universiteit, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, De Boelelaan 1081a,  
1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands*

<sup>b</sup> *University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland*

Received 15 October 1996

---

## Abstract

We construct an example of two countable spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  such that the spaces  $C_p^*(X)$  and  $C_p^*(Y)$  are homeomorphic and the spaces  $C_p(X)$  and  $C_p(Y)$  are not homeomorphic. © 1998 Elsevier Science B.V.

**Keywords:** Function space;  $C_p(X)$ ; Ultrafilter

**AMS classification:** 54C35

---

## 1. Introduction

All spaces are completely regular.

For a space  $X$ ,  $C_p(X)$  denotes the space of all continuous real valued functions on  $X$  with the pointwise convergence topology.  $C_p^*(X)$  is the subspace of  $C_p(X)$  consisting of bounded functions.

Recently, Banach and Cauty [2] proved that if  $X$  is countable and nondiscrete then  $C_p^*(X)$  is homeomorphic to  $C_p(X) \times \sigma$ , where  $\sigma$  denotes the linear span of the standard basis in  $\ell^2$ . This interesting result has several nontrivial consequences, among them the statement that if  $C_p(X)$  and  $C_p(Y)$  are homeomorphic then so are  $C_p^*(X)$  and  $C_p^*(Y)$ . This result suggests the natural question of whether the reverse implication holds. The aim of this note is to answer this question in the negative: there exist countable spaces  $X$

---

\* Corresponding author. E-mail address: [vanmill@cs.vu.nl](mailto:vanmill@cs.vu.nl).

<sup>1</sup> E-mail address: [wmarcisz@cs.vu.nl](mailto:wmarcisz@cs.vu.nl).

and  $Y$  for which  $C_p^*(X) \approx C_p^*(Y)$  (i.e.,  $X$  and  $Y$  are  $t_p^*$ -equivalent) but  $C_p(X) \not\approx C_p(Y)$  (i.e.,  $X$  and  $Y$  are not  $t_p$ -equivalent). For a related result, see [1].

Given a filter  $F$  on an infinite countable set  $T$ , we denote by  $N_F$  the space  $T \cup \{\infty\}$ , where  $\infty \notin T$ , topologized by isolating the points of  $T$  and using the family  $\{A \cup \{\infty\} : A \in F\}$  as a neighborhood base at  $\infty$ .

Recall that a filter  $F$  is a  $P$ -filter if for every sequence  $(U_n)$  of sets from  $F$  we can find an  $A \in F$  which is almost contained in every  $U_n$ , i.e.,  $A \setminus U_n$  is finite.  $P$ -ultrafilters are also called  $P$ -points. For the notions from infinite-dimensional topology that we are using, we refer the reader to [9].

## 2. The example

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $F$  be a filter on  $\omega$  which is not a  $P$ -filter. Then the intersection  $C_p(N_F) \cap [0, 1]^{N_F}$  contains a closed nonempty subset  $R$  which is an absolute retract and a  $Z_\sigma$ -space.*

**Proof.** Since  $F$  is not a  $P$ -filter we can find a partition of  $\omega$  into disjoint infinite subsets  $A_k$ ,  $k \in \omega$ , with the following properties:

- (a)  $(\forall i \in \omega) [U_i = \bigcup_{k \geq i} A_k \in F]$ ,
- (b)  $(\forall A \in F)(\exists i \in \omega) [A \setminus U_i \text{ is infinite}]$ .

The condition (b) is obviously equivalent to

- (b')  $(\forall A \in F)(\exists k \in \omega) [A \cap A_k \text{ is infinite}]$ .

To simplify the notation we may assume that  $F$  is a filter on  $\omega \times \omega$  and  $A_k = \{(k, n) : n \in \omega\}$ .

Let  $P$  be the subset of  $[0, 1]^{N_F}$  consisting of all functions  $f$  with the following properties:

- (1)  $(\forall k, n, i \in \omega) [(i \leq n) \Rightarrow (f(k, i) \leq f(k, n))]$ ,
- (2)  $(\forall k, n, i \in \omega) [(k \leq i) \Rightarrow (f(k, n) \geq f(i, n))]$ ,
- (3)  $f(\infty) = 0$ .

Put  $R = P \cap C_p(N_F)$ . Obviously, the set  $R$  is closed in  $C_p(N_F) \cap [0, 1]^{N_F}$ . Since  $R$  is a convex subset of the product  $\mathbb{R}^{N_F}$  it is an absolute retract.

Consider an arbitrary  $f \in R$ . From the continuity of  $f$  at  $\infty$  it follows that, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is an  $A \in F$  such that  $f(k, n) \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $(k, n) \in A$ . By (b') there is  $k \in \omega$  such that  $f(k, n) \leq \varepsilon$  for infinitely many  $n \in \omega$ . Then the condition (1) implies that  $f(k, n) \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $n \in \omega$ . From (2) it follows that  $f(i, n) \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $n \in \omega$  and all  $i \geq k$ . Hence we have

$$R = \{f \in P : (\forall \varepsilon > 0)(\exists k \in \omega)(\forall i \geq k)(\forall n \in \omega) [f(i, n) \leq \varepsilon]\}.$$

Let

$$R_k = \{f \in R : (\forall i \geq k)(\forall n \in \omega) [f(i, n) \leq 1/2]\},$$

for  $k \in \omega$ . Then each  $R_k$  is a closed subset of  $R$  and  $R = \bigcup \{R_k : k \in \omega\}$ . One can easily verify that all  $R_k$  are  $Z$ -sets in  $R$ . Indeed, it is enough to observe that, for a fixed  $k$ , the sequence of maps  $\varphi_j : R \rightarrow R \setminus R_k$ ,  $j \in \omega$ , defined by

$$\varphi_j(f)(i, n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } i \leq k \text{ and } n \geq j, \\ f(i, n) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is uniformly convergent to the identity on  $R$  (uniformly with respect to any metric on the product  $[0, 1]^{N_F}$ ). Therefore  $R$  is a  $Z_\sigma$ -space.  $\square$

**Example 2.2.** There exist countable spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  such that the spaces  $C_p^*(X)$  and  $C_p^*(Y)$  are homeomorphic and the spaces  $C_p(X)$  and  $C_p(Y)$  are not homeomorphic.

Let  $F$  be an ultrafilter on  $\omega$  which is not a  $P$ -point. We take  $X = \omega \times N_F$ . Let  $S = \{0, 1, 1/2, 1/3, \dots\}$  (a convergent sequence). The space  $Y$  is the topological sum of the spaces  $X$  and  $S$ . We have the following:

**Lemma 2.3.** *The spaces  $C_p(X)$  and  $C_p(Y)$  are not homeomorphic.*

**Proof.** By [6, Example 7.1], the space  $C_p(N_F)$  is a Baire space. Since the space  $C_p(X)$  is homeomorphic to  $C_p(N_F)^\omega$  it is also a Baire space, see [10].

On the other hand, it is known that the space  $C_p(S)$  is homeomorphic to  $\sigma^\omega$  (see [4]) and therefore it is of the first category. Hence the space  $C_p(Y)$  which is homeomorphic to  $C_p(X) \times C_p(S)$  is also of the first category.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *The spaces  $C_p^*(X)$  and  $C_p^*(Y)$  are homeomorphic.*

**Proof.** Both spaces  $C_p^*(X)$  and  $C_p^*(Y)$  are  $\sigma$ -precompact (i.e., they lie in the  $\sigma$ -compact subsets of their completions). By [2, Corollary 2.7], it is enough to show that each of these spaces embeds as a closed set into the other. The space  $C_p^*(Y)$  is homeomorphic to  $C_p^*(X) \times C_p^*(S) = C_p^*(X) \times C_p(S) \approx C_p^*(X) \times \sigma^\omega$ . Obviously  $C_p^*(Y)$  contains a closed copy of  $C_p^*(X)$ . Since  $C_p^*(X)$  is homeomorphic to  $C_p^*(X) \times C_p^*(X)$  it remains to prove that  $C_p^*(X)$  contains a closed copy of  $\sigma^\omega$ . From Lemma 1 it follows that the space  $T = C_p(N_F) \cap [0, 1]^{N_F}$  contains a closed subset  $R$  which is an absolute retract and a  $Z_\sigma$ -space. By Lemma 5.3 from [5], the product  $R^\omega$  contains a closed copy of  $\sigma^\omega$ . Since it is obvious that the product  $T^\omega$  can be embedded as a closed subset of  $C_p^*(X)$ , we are done.  $\square$

### 3. Remarks

**Remark 3.1.** Using the results from [3, Lemma 4.11] and [2, Lemma 3.1] it is possible to give slightly simpler examples of spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  as in Example 2.2. It is enough to consider  $X = N_F$ , where  $F$  is an ultrafilter on  $\omega$  which is not a  $P$ -point, and again take  $Y = X \oplus S$ . But in this case the proof of the properties of  $X$  and  $Y$  is much more involved.

During the 8th Prague Topological Symposium, S.P. Gul'ko announced the following result:

**Theorem 3.2** (Gul'ko, Sokolov). *Let  $F$  be an ultrafilter on  $\omega$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $F$  is not a  $P$ -point,
- (ii)  $C_p(N_F)$  contains a closed copy of the rationals  $\mathbb{Q}$  (equivalently  $C_p(N_F)$  is not a hereditary Baire space),
- (iii)  $C_p(N_F)$  contains a closed copy of the space  $\sigma$ .

Hence we may use this result (together with Lemma 3.1 from [2]) for the proof of the properties of our example, instead of Lemma 2.1. But we decided to include this lemma to make our paper more self-contained.

The result of Gul'ko and Sokolov shows that the existence of  $P$ -points in  $\omega^*$  (which follows from the Continuum Hypothesis) implies the existence of hereditary Baire spaces  $C_p(N_F)$ . This fact has some other interesting consequences for the function spaces  $C_p^*(X)$ . We have the following simple observations:

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $X$  be a countable space such that  $C_p(X)$  is a hereditary Baire space. Then the space  $C_p^*(X)$  does not contain a closed copy of the space  $\sigma^\omega$ . In particular  $C_p^*(X)$  is not homeomorphic to  $C_p^*(X)^\omega$ .*

**Proof.** We have  $C_p^*(X) = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty C_p(X) \cap [-n, n]^X$ . The Hurewicz theorem implies that, in our case, every closed absolute Borel subset of  $C_p(X) \cap [-n, n]^X$  is an absolute  $G_\delta$ , for every  $n$ . Therefore every closed absolute Borel subset of  $C_p^*(X)$  is an absolute  $G_{\delta\sigma}$ . It is well known that the space  $\sigma^\omega$  is not such a space. The last part of the proposition follows from the fact that  $C_p^*(X)$  always contains a closed copy of  $\sigma$ . (This can be seen by a direct argument, but also follows from the result of Banach and Cauty quoted in the introduction.)  $\square$

Let us point out that the space  $C_p^*(N_F)$  is always homeomorphic to all its finite powers  $(C_p^*(N_F))^n$ . If  $X$  is nondiscrete and  $C_p^*(X)$  is analytic then it is homeomorphic to  $C_p(X)$  and contains a closed copy of  $\sigma^\omega$  (see [2, Section 3]). Proposition 3.3 implies the following fact:

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $F$  be a filter such that  $C_p(N_F)$  is a hereditary Baire space. Then the space  $C_p^*(\omega \times N_F)$  is not homeomorphic to  $(C_p^*(N_F))^\omega$ .*

**Proof.** By Lemma 4.11 from [3] the space  $C_p(\omega \times N_F) \approx (C_p(N_F))^\omega$  can be embedded as a closed subset of  $C_p(N_F)$ . Therefore  $C_p(\omega \times N_F)$  is a hereditary Baire space and by Proposition 3.3  $C_p^*(\omega \times N_F)$  does not contain a closed copy of the space  $\sigma^\omega$ . On the other hand  $C_p^*(N_F)$  always contains a closed copy of  $\sigma$ , so  $(C_p^*(N_F))^\omega$  contains a closed copy of  $\sigma^\omega$ .  $\square$

Observe that if  $X$  is the topological sum of the spaces  $X_i$ ,  $i \in I$ , then  $C_p(X)$  is canonically (linearly) homeomorphic to  $\prod_{i \in I} C_p(X_i)$ . By [2, Theorem 3.2], if  $I = \omega$  and, for all  $i \in \omega$ ,  $X_i$  is nondiscrete and  $C_p(X_i)$  is analytic, then also

$$C_p^*(X) \approx \prod_{i \in \omega} C_p^*(X_i).$$

Our result shows that this cannot be extended to the general case.  $\square$

**Remark 3.5.** In [8, Lemma 4.1] it has been proved that a closed zero-dimensional subset of the space  $C_p(N_F)$  can be embedded in  $F^\omega$  as a closed subset, for every filter  $F$  (here, we consider  $F$  as a subspace of the Cantor set  $2^\omega \approx \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ ). The theorem of Gul'ko and Sokolov shows that this is not the case for  $C_p^*(N_F)$ . This space always contains a closed copy of the rationals  $\mathbb{Q}$ . But, if  $F$  is a  $P$ -point then the product  $F^\omega$  is hereditary Baire. This follows from the fact that  $F^\omega$  can be embedded as a closed subset in  $C_p(N_F)$ , see [3, Lemma 4.11] and [7, Theorem 2.1].

## References

- [1] J. Baars, J. de Groot, J. van Mill and J. Pelant, An example of  $\ell_p$ -equivalent spaces which are not  $\ell_p^*$ -equivalent, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 119 (1993) 963–969.
- [2] T. Banach and R. Cauty, Universalité forte pour les sous-ensembles totalement bornés. Applications aux espaces  $C_p(X)$ , Preprint.
- [3] R. Cauty, T. Dobrowolski and W. Marciszewski, A contribution to the topological classification of the spaces  $C_p(X)$ , *Fund. Math.* 142 (1993) 269–301.
- [4] T. Dobrowolski, S.P. Gulko and J. Mogilski, Function spaces homeomorphic to the countable product of  $\ell_j^2$ , *Topology Appl.* 34 (1990) 153–160.
- [5] T. Dobrowolski, W. Marciszewski and J. Mogilski, Topological classification of function spaces  $C_p(X)$  of low Borel complexity, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 328 (1991) 307–324.
- [6] D.J. Lutzer and R.A. McCoy, Category in function spaces, *Pacific J. Math.* 90 (1980) 145–168.
- [7] D. Lutzer, J. van Mill and R. Pol, Descriptive complexity of function spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 291 (1985) 121–128.
- [8] W. Marciszewski, On analytic and coanalytic function spaces  $C_p(X)$ , *Topology Appl.* 50 (1993) 241–248.
- [9] J. van Mill, *Infinite-Dimensional Topology. Prerequisites and Introduction* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1989).
- [10] J. Oxtoby, Cartesian products of Baire spaces, *Fund. Math.* 49 (1961) 157–166.